

REMARKS

Reconsideration of this application is respectfully requested.

In the Office Action, claims 1-7, 11-13 and 25-30 were pending. Claims 1-7, 11-13 and 25-30 were rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 1 of U.S. Patent No. 6,498,305 by Marketkar et al. (hereinafter "Marketkar"). The Examiner indicated that claims 1-7, 11-13, and 27-30 were pending. However, claims 25 and 26 had not been canceled previously and in fact, claim 27 depends from claim 25. Therefore, Applicant believes that claims 1-7, 11-13, and 25-30 should be pending instead.

In this response, no claim has been canceled or amended. Thus, claims 1-7, 11-13, and 25-30 remain pending. A terminal disclaimer has been submitted to overcome the double patenting rejections. No new matter has been added.


In view of the foregoing, Applicant respectfully submits the present application is now in condition for allowance. If the Examiner believes a telephone conference would expedite or assist in the allowance of the present application, the Examiner is invited to call the undersigned attorney at (408) 720-8300.

Please charge Deposit Account No. 02-2666 for any shortage of fees in connection with this response.

Respectfully submitted,

BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN

Date: 7/13/2004



Kevin G. Shao
Attorney for Applicant

Reg. No. 45,095

12400 Wilshire Boulevard
Seventh Floor
Los Angeles, California 90025-1026
(408) 720-8300